

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Potent and Trademark Office
Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D. C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/897,922	07/05/2001	Klaus Kramer	51569	1707

26474 7590 KEIL & WEINKAUF

02/24/2003 1350 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20036

EXAMINER LAMBKIN, DEBORAH C

PAPER NUMBER

1626

DATE MAILED: 02/24/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	400			
Office Action Summary		09/897,922	KRAMER ET AL.	KRAMER ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Deborah C Lambkin	1626				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.186(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply septide above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  If NO period for reply visit have been the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C, § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earend patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	Despensive to semmunication/s) filed as 27						
1)[\[ \]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J						
2a)⊠	,	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
		the application					
4) Claim(s) 1-6,11,12,14 and 15 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) 1-6 and 11 is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) 12,14-15 is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
_		r alastian requirement					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers							
9)□-	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
Attachment(s)							
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-15	i2)			

Application/Control Number: 09/897,922

Art Unit: 1626

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

These claims drawn to methods of "improving cosmetic formulations", "improving drugs" and "improving compositions" are vague and indefinite. It is not clear from the specification what exactly in these three instances is being improved and how. It is not seen how increasing the bioavailability of the instant metal lipoate complex can to extrapolated to these recited methods. Furthermore, there is not adequate written description of these methods. Moreover, one can argue that these methods are drawn to new matter because they were not originally presented as now claimed and actually drawn to different inventions as originally claimed.

Claims 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of increasing the bioavailability of mineral salts, does not reasonably provide enablement for methods of "improving cosmetic formulations", "improving drugs" and "improving compositions". The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with

Art Unit: 1626

which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. \*\*\*

In the similar vein discussed supra, applicant's specification fails to adequately teach how these methods as claimed cause improvement as recited and exactly how this is achieved in each particular instance. Furthermore, applicant has not taught which cosmetic formulations, drugs or compositions are improved such that it would require undue experimentation for one of ordinary skill in the art to practice the invention as claimed. Consequently, the enablement is not commensurate in scope with the claims.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-6 and 11 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/897,922

Art Unit: 1626

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

Page 4

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Deborah C. Lambkin whose telephone number is 703-

308-4522.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Joseph McKane, can be reached on (703) 308-4537. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-

308-7922.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1235.

Deborah C. Lambkin

Primary Patent Examiner

Lamb

Art Unit 1626